ractitioner's	Dooket No.	No	M02A241
ractitioner s	DUCKEL	110.	

In re application of: DAVIS et al.

Application No.: 10 /807,716

Group No.: 1762

Filed: March 24, 2004

Examiner:

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING SEMI-CONDUCTOR COATED SUBSTRATE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

		SIAI	US INQUIRY		
	VARNING	: Submission of a status letter after a in patent term adjustment under 37 111–112, June 26, 2001.	Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG		
1.	More t	than 18 months have pa	ssed since		
	X	NEW APPLICATIONS			
		the filing of this application of	on March 24, 2004		
		No communication has been indicating action on this appl	eceived from the Patent and Trademark Office		
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS					
the filing of a response on		the filing of a response on	•		
		No further communication ha Office.	is been received from the Patent and Trademark		
		APPEALED APPLICATION			
		The Appeal Brief was file	ed on		
		(When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)		
Lhe	ereby cert	ify that, on the date shown below, th	is correspondence is being:		
	•		MAILING		
X	deposite	d with the United States Postal Service Q, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.		
		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *		
X	with suff	icient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No (mandatory)		
		TR	ANSMISSION		
	facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trader			
_	,		Betha 100		
	6 4	- : 1-1-1	Signature		
Date: September 17, 2005		enser 21, 2005	Betty Lee		
			(type or print name of person certifying)		
			(type of print name of person certifying)		

^{*} Only the date of filling (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filling date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)
☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
ALLOWED APPLICATIONS the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as

"NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

"AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Date: Sept 27, 708	hata pleak
	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Reg. No.: 31,147	Ira Lee Zebrak
Tel. No.: (908) 771-6469	(type or print name of practitioner) The BOC Group, Inc. Legal Services-Intellectual Property
10. No. (906) 771-0409	P.O. Address 575 Mountain Ae. Murray Hill, NJ 07974
Customer No.: 020411	•

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATION	I SERIAL NO.	/	IS CURF	RENTLY
	ASSI	GNED TO GROUP .		AND AWAI	TS:
		ACTION BY THE	EXAMINER.		
		APPLICANT'S RES	SPONSE TO	THE OFFICE ACT	ION MAILED
			-		
APPEAL	NO				
					CALC AND INTERES
IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- ENCES					
		DATE OF HEARING	EXPECTED _		
		ECISION EXPECTE	D	·	